

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | • | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/767,157 | 01/28/2004 | Emily Lo | 1211048 | 4643 |
| 7590 11/02/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| PRO-TECHTOR INTERNATIONAL 20775 Norada Court | | | PAHNG, JASON Y | |
| Saratoga, CA 95070-3018 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | · | 3725 | |
| | | • | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) \[\] A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) \[\] A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) \[\] No reply has been received. 2. \[\] Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) \[\] The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date | | | A 4: 4: A) | A 1: 4/ 1 | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner Jason Y. Pahng Jary 55 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address This application is abandoned in view of: Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 28 April 2005 A peptor for reply (molding a total extension of film of month(s)) which expired on period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (a) Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The Issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date Nuhchis after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date Nuhchis after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 1 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Jason Y. Pahng 3725 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | Notice of Abandonment | 10/767,157 | LO, EMILY | | | |
| | | Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | Jason V Pahna | 3725 | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of. 1. | | The MAILING DATE of this communication ann | | L | | | |
| Name A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of monthly) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | I ne WAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of monthly) which expired on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona filed attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-87). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest | | This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on within a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date his submitted fee of sinal insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 6. The electron of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR | | (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on | | | | | |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) | | | | | | | |
| final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The Issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below. During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. **PERRIS H. BANKS** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMININER TECHNIOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly fi | | | | | | | |
| from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) | (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. ■ PERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U. S Patent and Trademan Ciffice. | | (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| 3. | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Critical | (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☒ The reason(s) below: During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |
| 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☒ The reason(s) below: During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is | | | | | | |
| the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |
| During a telephone conference with the attorney of record, Keith Kline, on October 31, 2005, the attorney confirmed that no reply has been filed. DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | se the period for seeking court review | | | |
| that no reply has been filed. DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |
| minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |
| | minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | | | | |
| | | U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 10282005 | | | |